#### Approved For Release 2002/07/02: CIA-RDP79B017094002000050033-0

DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY-OF STATE WASHINGTON

> HANDLE VIA CONTROL SYSTEM 7 July 1966

DOD - Mr. McMaughton

> - Dr. Flax ACDA - Mr. Pisher 25X1A CIA

White House - Mr. Keeny

- Mr. Charles Johnson

- Mr. Moloh MASA - Mr. Seamans

UDJECT Report of the MSAM 156 Committee on

"Political and Socurity Appears of

Mon-Military Applications of Satellite Earth-Sensing"

1. Attached is the revised report on "Political and Security Appeats of Non-Williamy Applications of Catollito Earth-Sonsing", taking into account your comments at the mosting on July 6 (for which we shall not prepare minutes).

2. I would appreciate your informing Mr. Carthoff (Code 182, X 2579) of your concurrence, or of any comments or dissents, as soon as possible so that we can ascertain whether a session will be needed on Honday at 11:00 a.m. to "clean-up" the report.

Enclosuro:

Report on "Political and Security Aspects of Non-Military Applications of Satellite Earth-Sensing"

State Dept. review completed

TOP SECRET

Approved For Release 2002/07/02 : CIA-RDP79B01709A002000050033 20 42

### Approved For Release 2002/07/02 : CIA-RDP79B01709A002000050033-0

TOP SECRET

		25X1A		
HANDLE	VIA		CONTROL	SYSTEM

# Political and Security Aspects of Non-Military Applications of Satellite Earth-Sensing

- 1. The NSAM 156 Committee has reviewed the issues raised in the letter of April 4 from Mr. Charles L. Schultze and Dr. Donald F. Hornig to Secretary of State Dean Rusk (Appendix A), and submits the following report of its conclusions.
- 2. We believe that the "Report on Political and Informational Aspects of Satellite Reconnaissance Policy" prepared purament to MSAM 155 and approved on June 30, 1962, for transmittal to the President remains basically valid. The objective of avoiding open challenges to satellite observation activity has been generally met, and the Soviet Union has muted -- though not retracted -- its challenge to the principle of military space reconnaissance. Agreement has been reached on fundamental legal principles which do not ban (though they also do not explicitly sanction) space observation. Also, since 1962 the Soviets have developed a major operational patellite reconnaissance program of their own. Developments over the past four years have, therefore, Led to a shift of emphasis from a need for actions that will build world acceptance of space observations, then a gonerally novel idea, to actions which will preserve the present wide tacit acceptance of such activities. Accordingly, there does not seem to be any imperative to Launch disclosure initiatives for the purpose of furthering the general principle of space observation. On the other hand, it remains necessary to consider the possibly adverse effects of new public disclosures or other initiatives which could upset the present satisfactory situation.
- 3. Our chief concern over a challenge to the legitimacy and propriety of satellite reconnaissance has been the Soviet position. Over the past several years, the Russians have withdrawn insistence on branding such activity as fallogal in the cases of international space agreements that they desired, and they do not press such arguments in the UH, but they have not stopped referring to such activities as espionage and as illegal. Moreover, the statements by Khrushchev and his son-in-law, Adzhubei, admitting such

TOP SECRET

25X1A
Copy of of

-2-

Soviet activities have never been printed in the Soviet press or acknowledged as official, and they have not been even informally repeated by the present Soviet leaders. Accordingly, we see continuing pertinence of the NSAM 156 Report conclusion that: "It is entremely important that the US avoid public statements about our satellite operations that would pose a direct political challenge to the Soviet Union on the sensitive issue of reconnaissance."

- h. It is now necessary to give more attention than heretofore to the reactions of other countries. To date, increasing public awareness of the existence of US and Soviet military space reconnaissance has not promoted concern in other countries for their own political or military security interests, but such concern is likely to develop as others become more aware of the nature and scope of satellite surveillance. Disclosure of surveillance cupabilities, even indirectly in non-military contexts, will enaken new interest and in some cases concern. Accordingly, any such disclosure should be carefully considered and planned so as to prevent or reduce adverse reactions by other states that would be undesirable in their own right and could also be manipulated to our detriment by the Saviet Union.
- 5. Direct disclosure of satellite reconnaissance for the purpose of gaining world acceptance of the principle of agree surveillance is both unnecessary and liable to provoke adverse reactions from the USSR and other states. On the other hand, in the long run the security of our reconnaissance program can be served by encouraging the present natural, gradual growing world recognition of the potentialities of satellite earth-sensing in the context of scientific progress and economic betterment. Such recognition will grow whether we stimulate it or not. We can influence and channel, and if we wish retard, such a development -- but we cannot prevent it. We should recognize that any apparent US efforts to suppress or hobble peaceful applications because of presumed (and ( ) ( ) presumed) sensitivity over protecting military accommassance would not serve our objective of retaining or improving tacit acceptance of unrestricted earth observation and sensing. A US position of favoring, leading, and sharing in non-military applications of satellite earth-sensing will not involve the same risks of provoking

_2	5X1A	

Ú.

# Approved For Release 2002/07/02 : CIA-RDP79B01700A002000050033-0

TOP SECRET

HANDLE VIA

CONTROL SYSTEM

-3-

a confrontation with the Soviet Union as would direct disclesure of meconnaissance. We should insure, insofar as possible, that these initiatives are not construed by the Soviets as likely to recult in general disclosure of information about her military capabilities which the USSR wishes to protect.

- 6. As noted above, non-military uses of space which require surveillance of the earth by various sensors would as a side offect inevitably stimulate wider awareness of the capabilities of reconnaissance, but in a more favorable context than would direct disclosure. We should recognize that different uses of any technology will continue to oveko different reastions. The familiar home, travel and hobby uses of ordinary cameras to not lessen objections to their use for intelligence collection. The same will be true of catellite cameras, and the Soviets have already shifted their position several years ago to objecting to the use of satellite intelligence collection, rather than objecting to satellite observation per so. (If in the Rubure the Russians tacitly admit to having recommatecance batolkitos of thoir own -- as Dronhnov did for the first time in a speech on July 1 -- they would probably stall olain that intelligence collection by the United States gerved different and nefferheus purposes.) This does not, herever, seem to be a valid basin for opposing development of concurrent non-military and continued military rocenmalacance programs.
- 7. NASA's and other proposals for developing earthconsing programs which might overlap, be derived in
  a mitised form from, or stimulate public interest in,
  discrified reconnaissance programs should be judged on
  the basis of criteria such as feasibility, preference
  we non-space alternatives, cost, problems in protecting
  classified technology, and risks of security compromise
  of the classified reconnaissance program. It should
  usually be possible by careful planning to mitigate possiles diverse political repercussions of the incidental
  leschroupe of surveillance capabilities and hence to give
  platification for such programs, and the bost
  conoral basis for calming any slarm over their effects,
  tall be valid scientific or economic payoff in which other
  countries can expect to share.

TOP SECRET

HANDLE VIA

CONTROL SYSTEM

-4-

- 8. The primary area of competition in space between the United States and the Soviet Union has been and will for the next few years continue to be the race to the moon. This is, however, largely a short term competition for the 1950's. In the lenger run, there may develop a competition in space applications developing the resources of the world, particularly of the underdeveloped world. Communications satellites and meteorological satellites have already contributed to this end, but their benefits do not exhaust the potential value of earth-sensing satellites for developing and using natural resources.
- 9. In the deliberations of this committee, differences of view arose over the relative merits of using satellites or aircraft for natural resource surveys and other earthsonaing activities in the "recommissance range" of satellite sensing (that is, roughly below 20 meters in precision of ground resolution). This Committee has not attempted to resolve such differences; they clearly reflect an important question, but our present focus is on political and security guidelines for use of such satellite programs in this range as may be determined to be economically and scientifically fustified. In addition, there would appear to be unresolved questions with respect to the alternatives of using unmanned or manned satellites for these purposes.
- MASA as interested can in time provide vast data, using a variety of spaceborne sensors. The MASA program as now envisaged does not include operational use of remotesonaing techniques before the 1970s, principally because most of the sensors are presently programmed for use in sephilaticated manned spacecraft as part of the Apollo Applications Program. However, experimental programs might be imitiated as early as 1958, There is no funding as yet of less complex, less expensive unmanned systems.
- II. One current problem which emerges is the question of use of certain equipment and photographic materials from the classified recommalssance program to assist NASA in evaluating the utility of, and developing techniques for, catellite photography for exploiting natural resources. In order to develop a thorough understanding of observation catellite technology, it would seem desirable to consider the thorough understanding of observation catellite technology, it would seem desirable to consider the thorough understanding of observation catellite technology, it would seem desirable to consider the thorough understanding of observation catellite technology, it would seem desirable to consider

TOP SECRET

HANDLE VIA

CONTROL SYSTEM

-6-

- 13. The United States, will, in any case, find it increasingly difficult to control public disclosure of satellite surveillance capabilities. To date the US and the USSR have maintained tacitly admostledged but unpublioised mutual reconnaissance surveillance. Lately, the USSR has shown what may be indications of a slight leasening up of their cun reticence to discuss satellite sensing capabilities by releasing TV photographs of the earth taken by the Molniya satellite, and by publishing in their cun press carlier US-released Gemini photographs (without attribution of the source). These steps suggest a possible Soviet willingness to accustom the world to the idea that non-recommassance photography from space is a normal activity, and could foreshadow an openly acknowledged future Soviet satellite program for earth-sensing and natural resources development. (The USSR may also use this imouledge later to attempt to undercut the American position on disarmament verification, and as noted earlier this would not necessarily imply any softening of Soviet objection to open admostledgement of reconnaissance.) Other countries, too, may be contemplating similar programs. Recent French studies of the use of acrial photography for goographic uses have indicated an interest in the use of space platforms as well as aircraft. This interest is not supprising; France is only the first of several countries with developing space programs which will be investigating useful economic or scientific satellite programs in an area that has not already been preempted by the USA or the USSR. In the likelihood that other countries will soon be operating or at least openly world assumed the use of observation satellites, it might be the US advantage to be prepared to take the lead in such discussions and activities. Indeed, at some point we may wish to consider cooperative and collaborative programs not only with other countries in Western Europe and Jupan, but even with the USSR, if the political climate were appropriate.
- A4. The United States should consider steps to apply to highly developed and developing photographic capabilities for the benefit of the underdeveloped countries. In this way the United States can be in a position to provide langible evidence of our interest in helping developing countries, while forestalling or evermatching possible Soviet propaganda initiative in that field. This will require consideration of a whole range of political, as

TOP SECRET

-7-

woll as scientific-tochnical and security, factors. windple, morely advising developing countries of new poscurees and opportunities will not always win us plaudits If we are not prepared to assist these countries in mendating these potentialities. Honotheless, in the longer wind would appear to be real political opportunities to to in taking a more active role than the Soviet Union in applying savellite earth observation to non-military oconomic uses. This long-sun political interest reinforces other reasons for developing the petentialities or non-nilitary uses of earth-pensing by satellites. Showly

	 	 2,4 1000	m franks fire and franks	A /	50-20 C 0 0 C 1 1 1 0 C	13 /3 /2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	133
ı						<u> </u>	<u> </u>
1							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
1							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
ı							
1							
ı							

16. At some point, probably after there had been forther initial emploratory study and if the program coved practicable, it would appear that the United States perhaps the President himself -- might launch a major public program. At that time, emporimental NASA corial and space photographs could be released, and MASA program plans and empectations described -- all without mention of the classified program. Such an initiative would raximize political gains for the United States. It could, of course, also prompt prominent speculation about classiod reconnaissance activities, but such speculation sould probably be fonded off, and possible hestile Soviet receivens would probably be foreclosed or undercut by the wide interest that the program should generate. However, the question of whether and how any such inicitive should be made should probably be deferred at this time, and in any case will require further careful consideration.

MOP	SECRET	8

25V1A	
23A IA	

Carata Company

#### Approved For Release 2002/07/02: CIA-RDP79B01709A002000050033-0

TOP SECRET

		25X1A	A	
HANDLE	VIA		CONTROL	System

-8-

17. It should be noted that public recognition, even en an incomplete basis, of catellite ebservation capabilities would also have reverborations in other fields. For emample, public awareness of such capabilities should assist in building a consonous in support of disarmament proposals which roly on catellite curvelllance. The existence of an coordy asimoulodged photographic satellite system, even with poorer quality products, would under some elecumetances give the US government an additional option: to make public use of catellite photography to prove a violation of an agreement to a world forum, without disclosure of the classified recommissince program. In the absence of such a publicly known system, it might be nowe difficult to make a convincing case that a violation had indeed occurred. the same time, it may also be used by others to argue against requirements for other verification measures in cases where such requirements remain. By and large, however, disclosure of surveillance espabilities within the limits we are suggesting would probably facilitate distinguishing \* between what satellite observation can and cannot verify for the purposes of disarmament negotiations.

# 18. Pacammandations:

- (1) The classified national reconnaissance program about the protected by careful consideration of the political, as well as technical proliferation, effects of public discussion of earth-sensing activities of any given nature and level of surveillance espablity.
- (2) There is potential great political capital in a US program of national resource surveys and other scientific and economic emploitation of satellite carth observation and sensing, provided the basis has been properly haid, and the announcement of such a program is able to draw and project viable economic premise. Purther considerate an abould therefore be given to a major political contained the concept of economic betterment with such a process of economic betterment acres space activities. If such a intelligive is decided to be concept of community the concept of economic betterment acres space activities. If such an intelligive is decided to be concept of some carly payoff.
- (3) At present, and for the next several years, then the standpoint of political and security considerations

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

# Approved For Release 2002/07/02 : CIA-RDP79B01709A002000050033-0

TOP SECRET HANDLE VIA CONTROL SYSTEM

-11-

be made available for MASA's pregram of non-military applications of satellite earth-sensing. It is recognized that substantial compartmentalization will probably have to remain, but the non-military programs should be enabled to profit from relevant achievements of the military program to the entent feasible.

hast recommendation Eleminated

TOP SEGRET